

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JAN 27 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MIGUEL BARAJAS VARGAS,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-75006

Agency No. A95-394-350

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 23, 2006\*\*

Before: T.G. NELSON, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-75006

further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.